

CCCApply residency - potential barrier for students

20 messages

Alcala, Elena <ealcala@cccco.edu>

Tue, Jan 15, 2019 at 2:14 PM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Cc: "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>

Hi Patty,

Thank you for your time last week in going over the CCCApply logic with Debbie and I. I just wanted to follow up with question for clarification. The questions that we identified as being the potential problem in flagging students for residency; can it be independent from foster youth? I don't remember it being specific to foster youth or it being part of the foster youth question sequence.

Also, I checked with our residency specialist, Natalie Wager about this issue. I also reviewed the SAAM, which that question specifically referenced. The finding is that students under the age of 19 who have a legal guardian, take the residency of the guardian only if the parent is deceased. If the parent is not deceased, the student takes the residency of the parent even though the student has a legal guardian. This is probably the reason for this question and why a flag is assigned if the student answers yes to the question. The college will need to verify which residency to use.

Elena Alcala, MPA
Regional Programmatic Support
1102 Q Street, Suite 4400
Sacramento, CA 95811
ealcala@cccco.edu

916-327-0752



Hi Elena,

Thank you for this information. As an update to those not on the call, we were able to determine that it is not the foster youth question that is causing the residency flag, but rather the parent/guardian question. On the "Account information" tab of CCCApply, students who are under 19 must either indicate that they are under the care of a parent or guardian, or that they are independent for one of several reasons listed. A student who indicates that they are under the care of a parent or guardian must then choose to list either a mother, father or guardian. When a student lists a guardian specifically (as opposed to a mother or father), this triggers a flag that requires the students to bring evidence that they were in the care of the guardian for the past two years.

A guardian is described as "a person other than a parent who has been legally appointed to provide your support, care, and control." Neither this or the option of being "self-supporting" really capture the situation of a student currently in foster care. The legal entity with responsibility for their care is the State of California (not a legal guardian, which is a separate legal classification) but I would imagine that many of these students select the guardian option as a default choice even though this is not accurate to their circumstance. From the information that Elena provided, it I can understand why we have been hearing feedback about confusion in the A&R offices, as foster youth frequently are not in contact with biological parents and would be unable to provide information about their residency status (nor would we want them to seek this out as contact with bio family can be extremely problematic given the history of abuse and neglect).

In 2009, AB 669 was passed which added section 68085 to Ed code that provides that "A student who currently resides in California and is 19 years of age or under at the time of enrollment, who is currently a dependent or ward of the state through California's child welfare system, or was served by California's child welfare system and is no longer being served either due to emancipation or aging out of the system, may be entitled to resident classification until he or she has resided in the state the minimum time necessary to become a resident." I am wondering if this language could be the basis of some changes to CCCApply to address this issue?

Would it be possible to schedule a call to talk through how to best proceed?

Debbie

p.s. As we were going through the questions, I also noticed that the logic and language behind priority registration was not updated to reflect changes adopted in 2016 through SB 906. SB 906 changed eligibility for priority registration to "in care after 16" (from in care after 18) and under age 26 (from under age 25). There are two changes that would need to be made to update this. The first would be on the foster youth question itself. Instead of giving options for exiting foster care before or after the student's 18th birthday, it should be changed to their 16th birthday. In addition, the logic that creates the flag for priority registration eligibility determines if they are under age 25 and it should be changed to create the flag if they are under age 26.

Debbie Raucher

John Burton Advocates for Youth

(510) 593-8382

From: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@CCCCO.edu> Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 2:14 PM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Cc: "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@CCCCO.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@CCCCO.edu>, Debbie

Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@CCCCO.edu>

Subject: CCCApply residency - potential barrier for students

[Quoted text hidden]

Patty Donohue pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Sun, Jan 20, 2019 at 12:58 PM

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Hello Debbie and Elena,

My apologies for the delay in responding.

To be honest, I had started a long and tedious response to Elena's email above with some "way too much information" detail on the Foster Youth question, data fields, and logic currently employed in CCCApply.

However, reading through Debbie's excellent response - I decided to punt on my big write up (but I still have it waiting in the wings if we need to look at the residency algorithm again).

So in answer to Debbie's questions:

- 1) I am available to meet to discuss how best to move forward with the Parent/Guardian issue. Please let me know and I will clear my calendar.
- 2) Regarding the changes to the existing Foster Youth question, I will take a stab at writing up the changes as you've laid out above and forward to you for review. At this time in the Apply release cycle, the earliest this could be implemented would be for the June 2019 release, but we will have to hustle to get this change through the approval process.

Thank you both.

Patty

[Quoted text hidden]





Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Sun, Jan 20, 2019 at 1:17 PM

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Hi Debbie,

I'm getting the change request doc started on the language & logic changes. Can you please review the onscreen language below and indicate specifically what the language changes would be?

Foster Youth Status

(Below is the onscreen text and the corresponding response options/data values. The primary question is shown to ALL applicants. The conditional question and responses (below) only display if the user selects Yes for "Have you ever been in Court-Ordered Foster Care?")

0 = The response to "Have you ever been in Court Ordered Foster Care?" is No.

Set the field based on the option selected when Foster Care question is Yes and the additional question text displays with radio buttons:

- 1 = I am currently in foster care (including extended foster care after age 18).
- 2 = I aged out/emancipated from foster care or exited voluntarily on or after my 18th birthday.
- 3 = No longer used
- 4 = No longer used
- 5 = I exited the foster care system before my 18th birthday.
- 6 = I am not sure at what age I exited foster care.

I will look up the details to SB 906 as well.

Thank you.

Patty

[Quoted text hidden]

Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Mon, Jan 21, 2019 at 7:26 PM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Hi Patty,

Thanks so much for your help with this. The changes should be on responses 2 and 5. They should read as follows:

- 2 = I exited the foster care system on or after my 16th birthday.
- 5 = I exited the foster care system before my 16th birthday.

I recommend including a link from the word "exited" for both responses that says "reasons for exiting foster can include adoption, entering legal guardianship, reunification with parent(s), emancipation at age 18, or disqualification or voluntary exit after age 18"

Just FYI – the relevant code section is Ed Code 66025.9(b)(1).

Debbie Raucher

John Burton Advocates for Youth

(510) 593-8382

From: Patty Donohue <pdcctechcenter.org>

Date: Sunday, January 20, 2019 at 1:17 PM **To:** Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@ccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@ccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@ccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@ccco.edu>, "cganley@CCCCO.edu" <cganley@ccco.edu>

Subject: Re: CCCApply residency - potential barrier for students

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Alcala, Elena <ealcala@cccco.edu>

Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 10:18 AM

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>, Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>
Cc: "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

I think a meeting would be great to discuss the next steps.

Debby and Patty, please let me know if the following times work for you.

1/28 morning or 3-4PM

1/29 2-3:30PM

1/30 morning or 2-3PM

1/31 morning

Elena Alcala, MPA

Regional Programmatic Support

1102 Q Street, Suite 4400

Sacramento, CA 95811

ealcala@cccco.edu

916-327-0752



From: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org> Sent: Monday, January 21, 2019 7:27 PM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Cc: Alcala, Elena <ealcala@CCCCO.edu>; Wagner, Natalie <nwagner@CCCCO.edu>; Keeley, Mia

<mkeeley@CCCCO.edu>; Quiaoit, Michael <mquiaoit@CCCCO.edu>; Ganley, Colleen <cganley@CCCCO.edu>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Patty Donohue pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 11:21 AM

To: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>

Cc: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mguiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Hello Elena,

Please see my availability below.

Patty

On Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 10:18 AM Alcala, Elena <ealcala@cccco.edu> wrote:

I think a meeting would be great to discuss the next steps.

Debby and Patty, please let me know if the following times work for you.

1/28 morning or 3-4PM

Yes - Currently Monday 1/28 is wide open (other than 8:30-9:00AM). However, it tends to book up quickly.

1/29 2-3:30PM

Not available

1/30 morning or 2-3PM

Wed 1/30 - I am available between 2:00-3:00PM

1/31 morning

Thus 1/31 - Only available between 9:30-10AM - Not much other available time on Thurs mornings.

Hope this helps. Patty

[Quoted text hidden]

--



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 11:21 AM

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Thanks Debbie. This is perfect.

Patty

[Quoted text hidden]

--



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Debbie,

A few additional questions regarding the recommended changes for the Foster Youth Status question in CCCApply.

Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 11:47 AM

- 1) The changes you've recommended within the question and response options make perfect sense and I don't have any question on those.
- 2) However, also recommend that we include a link with additional information under the word "exited". We already have a pop-up help box linked to the words "exit foster care" which includes the language shown below. It will be much easier to revise the existing box and language than adding another one. Can you please review the existing language and let me know your recommendations for including your suggested language?

Thank you, Patty

The "When did you exit foster care?" link renders a help pop-up which reads: << Start existing pop-up help box language >>

· Aged Out/Emancipated

You 'aged out/emancipated' from foster care if you exited foster care on or after your 18th birthday. If you need assistance with determining when you exited foster care, you can contact the California Foster Care Ombudsman's office at (877) 846-1602 or fosteryouthhelp@dss.ca.gov.

· Exited the foster care system before my 18th birthday

You 'exited the foster care system before your 18th birthday' if you were returned to the custody of your biological parents, entered a legal guardianship (with relatives or non-relatives) or were legally adopted before your 18th birthday. If you need assistance with determining when you exited foster care, you can contact the California Foster Care Ombudsman's office at (877) 846-1602 or fosteryouthhelp@dss.ca.gov.

Not Sure

If you need assistance with determining when you exited foster care, you can contact the California Foster Care Ombudsman's office at (877) 846-1602 or fosteryouthhelp@dss.ca.gov.

Do you know if this change will affect AB194 or AB669? If yes, those data fields will need to change along with the language in the Foster Youth Status question/field.

<< End existing pop-up help box language >>

[Quoted text hidden]



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 11:52 AM

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Debbie - Also, I'm assuming this change affects AB194 Priority Registration? We currently look at foster youth status as of age 18 - 25. Should that be changed to 16-25?

Thanks, Pattv

[Quoted text hidden]

Yes, this should be changed to 16-25.
Debbie Raucher
John Burton Advocates for Youth
(510) 593-8382
Facebook Website Twitter
From: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org> Date: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 at 11:53 AM</pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]
Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 4:19 For Patty Donohue <pre>patty Donohue <pre>patty Donohue <pre>ccctechcenter.org>, "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu></ealcala@cccco.edu></pre> Cc: "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu></cganley@cccco.edu></mquiaoit@cccco.edu></mkeeley@cccco.edu></nwagner@cccco.edu></pre></pre>
My availability based on the options below is:
1/28: 9:00 – 12:00 or 3:00 – 4:00
Debbie
Debbie Raucher
John Burton Advocates for Youth
(510) 593-8382
Facebook Website Twitter

From: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Date: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 at 11:21 AM **To:** "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>

Cc: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "cganley@CCCCO.edu"

<cganley@cccco.edu>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Patty Donohue pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 9:27 AM

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Thanks Debbie.

Elena - Will we have time to review the foster youth changes that Debbie has proposed during this scheduled meeting? I don't think we need much time, just enough for me to confirm some points on the information she's provided.

Thank you, Patty

[Quoted text hidden]



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Alcala, Elena <ealcala@cccco.edu>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 9:57 AM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>, Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>
Cc: "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

I hope so, if not we can schedule another meeting. I am having a meeting with Mia, Michael, and Natalie before to get them up to speed.

Elena Alcala, MPA

Regional Programmatic Support

1102 Q Street, Suite 4400

Sacramento, CA 95811

ealcala@cccco.edu

916-327-0752



From: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2019 9:28 AM To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 11:27 AM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

I agree that it makes sense to just edit the existing pop-up box.

Attached are my recommended edits to the current language.

Debbie Raucher

John Burton Advocates for Youth

(510) 593-8382

Facebook | Website | Twitter

From: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Date: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 at 11:48 AM

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



CCC Apply pop-up.docx

Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 11:30 AM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mguiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

AB 194 is the same as SB 906. SB 906 just amended the language previously put in place by AB 194. I don't think it impacts, AB 669, but would it be possible for you to send me the document that we were looking at on our last call that shows the logic for each question? That will help me to make sure.

Debbie Raucher

John Burton Advocates for Youth

(510) 593-8382

Facebook | Website | Twitter

From: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Date: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 at 11:48 AM

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 12:54 PM

To: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>

Cc: "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>

Hello Elena.

Thank you for following up on that meeting.

See my comments in-line below.

Best.

Patty

On Tue, Jan 15, 2019 at 2:14 PM Alcala, Elena <ealcala@cccco.edu> wrote:

Hi Patty,

of Contents.

Thank you for your time last week in going over the CCCApply logic with Debbie and I. I just wanted to follow up with question for clarification. The questions that we identified as being the potential problem in flagging students for residency; can it be independent from foster youth? I don't remember it being specific to foster youth or it being part of the foster youth question sequence.

In answer to your question - yes, foster youth students are identified independently of the residency logic in CCCApply and are not flagged due to residency unless it benefits them because they are at risk of being a "non-resident" foster youth under age 20.

Here's a breakdown of how Foster Youth applicants are identified in CCCApply and all the ways that information is used (and not necessarily related to residency). I warn you, this is a lot of information (my apologies) but you can always refer back to this in the future if this come up again - because it might :-):

ALSO: Here is a link to the **Foster Youth fields** in the **CCCApply Standard Application Data Dictionary** - which also has the residency algorithm (excerpts appear below) in full detail.

This first hyperlink above (Foster Youth fields) is an anchor link - if it doesn't work, just click on "Foster Youth" in the Table

A question exists on the Residency page in the CCCApply Standard application dedicated to identifying applicants who have ever been in court-ordered foster care. IF they answer Yes to this question, an additional question asks for more detail on when they exited and where.

Behind this single question, there are actually four different data fields - some with logic built in - and residency algorithm logic.

(Again, these are data fields, not questions):

Foster Youth Status - A foster youth status indicator value is stored based on when and where the applicant was ever in court-ordered foster care based on their screen input. (This field is used for calculation of other field values;)

Foster Youth MIS - Derived field based on foster_youth_status that stores MIS required data value for reporting purposes.

Foster Youth Priority - Calculated result for AB194 eligibility. Eligibility is defined as being a current foster youth or former foster youth who was in foster care as of the applicant's 18th birthday and under age 25. If Yes, Flag 71 is triggered - meaning the applicant is eligible for priority registration.

Foster Youth in California- Whether the applicant is a current or former Foster Youth in California and under 20 years of age at RDD.

If Yes, they are eligible for residency if any other logic shows they could be a non-resident. but must provide proof. This is used/calculated in the Area B residency algorithm ONLY if the applicant is already identified as a "non-resident" and this would give them a special eligibility for "B2 - possible resident" calculation.

NOTE: This last one ^^ is the only one that is used in the Residency algorithm to benefit non-residents who answered YES to the foster youth question, is under age 20, and currently residing in California ONLY. If the applicant meets this criteria, an integrity flag is triggered (flag 59) and the residency flag/classification is set to "B2 - possible resident".

Foster Youth in the Residency Algorithm

If you recall, there is only one instance where Foster Youth factors into the Area B residency logic (Step 4) - which is only included in the logic calculation IF the applicant is at risk of being a "non-resident" because they answered Yes to one or more of the "Out-of-State Activities" guestions (Step 1).

IF this is the case - if the applicant has any out-of-state activities and is at risk of being classified as a "non-resident" THEN the logic proceeds/includes Step 4 (otherwise it's completely bypassed) and looks to see if the "non-resident" applicant may be eligible for in-state residency because they are a current or former foster youth, under the age of 20, currently residing in California.

Otherwise, the algorithm does not include Step 4 and does not flag foster youths for residency at all.

Since I've gone this far, I decided to include a detailed breakdown of the first four steps in the Area B logic for future reference. Here goes:

Area B - Stay & Intent

Step 1: Do out-of-state indicators support intent? (in other words, did the applicant report any "out-of-state" activities within the past year?)

- If YES (they DO have out-of-state activities), THEN:
 - Set Class B0 (zero) and trigger flag 30 AND continue to Step 2.
 (Note: B0 = probable "non-resident" and Flag 30 alerts Admissions Office that the applicant has out-of-state activities to follow up on.)
- If NO (they do NOT have out-of-state activities, which is the majority of all students), then:
 - Go to Step 2 (Note: no class is set at all)

Step 2: Has the applicant lived in California for two years prior to the RDD?

- If YES Jump to Step 5
- If NO Go to Step 3

Step 3: Has the applicant lived in California for one year prior to the RDD?

- if YES (the applicant has resided in Cali for at least one year, but not yet two years), THEN...
 - Set Class B2 and trigger flag 59 (alert Admissions to length of stay) AND
 - Jump to Step 5 (skip over Step 4)
- If NO Go to Step 4

(Note: If you are following the logic above, Step 4 is jumped over by most students. It is only factored in IF the applicant has been identified as "B0 = non-resident" in Step 1, then the logic looks at Step 4. This is their chance to be re-classified as a "B2 - possible resident" if they meet the criteria per AB194 (residency eligibility.

**Step 4: Is the applicant a current or former foster youth, under age 20, and currently residing in California?

- If YES (applicant answered Yes to foster youth question on the residency page and is under 20 yro), THEN...
 - Set Class B2 and trigger flag 70 (alerting Admissions & Records to foster youth eligibility per AB669) AND
 - Go to Step 5
- If NO
 - Set Class B0 AND
 - Jump to Step 12 (Which takes the user down to the End of the Area B logic which sets the final status. No further opportunities exist throughout the logic steps to reclassify this student's status.

Also, I checked with our residency specialist, Natalie Wager about this issue. I also reviewed the SAAM, which that question specifically referenced. The finding is that students under the age of 19 who have a legal guardian, take the residency of the guardian only if the parent is deceased. If the parent is not deceased, the student takes the residency of the parent even though the student has a legal guardian. This is probably the reason for this question and why a flag is assigned if the student answers yes to the question. The college will need to verify which residency to use.

Elena Alcala, MPA

Regional Programmatic Support

1102 Q Street, Suite 4400

Sacramento, CA 95811

ealcala@cccco.edu

916-327-0752

cid:image002.png@01D3D321.689827E0



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 12:57 PM

To: Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

Cc: "Alcala, Elena" <ealcala@cccco.edu>, "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>, "Ganley, Colleen" <cganley@cccco.edu>

Debbie,

I just sent a very detailed response that was originally intended as a response to Elena's original email and then additional information for you (and her) as reference to our detailed discussion of the specific foster youth data fields and logic in CCCApply.

Main point: Look for the highlighted link to the CCCApply Standard Application Data Dictionary - which has everything in it. All the foster youth fields (which include logic within themselves) and the main residency logic toward the end of the document.

If you have any questions, please let me know. We can jump on a Zoom real quick and hammer them out.

Thanks, Patty

[Quoted text hidden]

__



Patricia Donohue

Product Manager, OpenCCC, CCCApply, SSO Proxy California Community Colleges Technology Center 530-228-2446 | pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org 3536 Butte Campus Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 CCCApply Support: www.ccctechnology.info

Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 1:17 PM

Thanks. I will take look and let you know if I have any questions.

Debbie Raucher

John Burton Advocates for Youth

(510) 593-8382

Facebook | Website | Twitter

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Alcala, Elena <ealcala@cccco.edu>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 1:35 PM

To: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Cc: "Wagner, Natalie" <nwagner@cccco.edu>, "Keeley, Mia" <mkeeley@cccco.edu>, Debbie Raucher <debbie@jbay.org>, "Quiaoit, Michael" <mquiaoit@cccco.edu>

Thank you for all the detailed information and for answering my question. I look forward to working on resolving this soon.

Elena Alcala, MPA

Regional Programmatic Support

1102 Q Street, Suite 4400

Sacramento, CA 95811

ealcala@cccco.edu

916-327-0752



From: Patty Donohue <pdonohue@ccctechcenter.org>

Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2019 12:55 PM To: Alcala, Elena <ealcala@CCCCO.edu>

Cc: Wagner, Natalie <nwagner@CCCCO.edu>; Keeley, Mia <mkeeley@CCCCO.edu>; Debbie Raucher

<debbie@jbay.org>; Quiaoit, Michael <mquiaoit@CCCCO.edu>
Subject: Re: CCCApply residency - potential barrier for students

Hello Elena,

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]